



Influence of Seed Bio-priming with Microbial Inoculants on Germination, Seedling Growth, Vigour and Enzyme Activity in Chickpea

Poornima Koradhanyamath¹, Basave Gowda², N.M. Shakuntala¹,
S.R. Doddagoudar¹, Arunkumar Hosamani³, A.S. Savitha⁴, Satyanarayan Rao⁵

10.18805/LR-5403

ABSTRACT

Background: Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), a staple pulse crop with significant nutritional, economic and agricultural importance, is known for its diverse uses and contributions to both human diet and livestock feed. To enhance its productivity and resilience, particularly in the face of abiotic and biotic stresses, innovative seed enhancement techniques such as bio-priming with plant endophytes have emerged as a promising approach to improve seed quality and overall crop performance.

Methods: In this laboratory investigation during 2022, chickpea seeds were surface sterilized with sodium hypochlorite (1%) for five minutes and then washed thrice with sterile water. Later the seeds were bio-primed with different bioagents with the seed to solution ratio of 1:3 for different duration hours. Blotter method was used to observe seed germination. Primed seeds were dried back to original moisture content (24 hrs) and then used to assess the seed quality parameters.

Result: The results showed that, priming chickpea seeds with *Paenibacillus polymyxa* for 6 hours resulted in significantly enhanced seedling growth and quality compared to all other treatments and control indicating its effectiveness in improving seed quality and growth.

Key words: Bio-priming, Chickpea, Enzyme activity, *Paenibacillus polymyxa*, Seed germination, Seedling vigour.

INTRODUCTION

Chickpea, known scientifically as *Cicer arietinum* L. and commonly referred to as gram, Bengal gram, or Spanish pea, is one of the oldest and most significant pulses cultivated both in Asia and Europe. As a major contributor to pulse production, chickpea holds a crucial position in terms of area, consumption and its role in sustaining subsistence farming systems. In India, chickpea is an important protein source in the human diet and is used in various forms including split chickpea (dal), 'Besan', fresh green leaves (sag) and as a vegetable (chhole). The plant's by-products, such as husks and dal bits, are valuable cattle feed, while its straw serves as excellent fodder. Chickpea is also noted for its medicinal value, particularly in blood purification.

Seeds are the fundamental and most essential component for achieving sustainable agriculture. Poor and slower seed germination limits seedling growth, ultimately reducing crop yield. The successful establishment of early seedlings requires rapid and uniform emergence and root growth. Developing techniques for fast and homogeneous seed growth could be a sustainable approach to improving agricultural productivity (Osburn and Schroth, 1988). In this regard, "seed priming" is a sustainable method to enhance seed quality, germination and establishment, leading to improved yields and plant performance. Primed seeds have been shown to withstand various abiotic and biotic stresses, resulting in better seed emergence and crop productivity (Roy *et al.*, 2024). Priming improves various biochemical processes including synthesis of various

¹Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur-584 104, Karnataka, India.

²Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore-560 065, Karnataka, India.

³Department of Entomology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur-584 104, Karnataka, India.

⁴Department of Plant Pathology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur-584 104, Karnataka, India.

⁵Department of Agronomy, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur-584 104, Karnataka, India.

Corresponding Author: Poornima Koradhanyamath, Department of Seed Science and Technology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur-584 104, Karnataka, India.

Email: poornimakmath95@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Koradhanyamath, P., Basave Gowda, Shakuntala, N.M., Doddagoudar, S.R., Arunkumar, H., Savitha, A.S. and Satyanarayan, R. (2026). Influence of Seed Bio-priming with Microbial Inoculants on Germination, Seedling Growth, Vigour and Enzyme Activity in Chickpea. *Legume Research*. **49(5)**: 869-875. doi: 10.18805/LR-5403.

Submitted: 15-08-2024 **Accepted:** 31-03-2025 **Online:** 16-08-2025

antioxidant enzymes, soluble sugar, regulates hormonal functions and thus improve plant growth. The seedlings from primed seeds exhibit enhanced activities of catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), glutathione reductase (GR). They also regulate proteins like aquaporins, tonoplasmic intrinsic proteins, dehydrins, lateembryogenic proteins and initiate various metabolic processes including protein synthesis, DNA repair (Diya *et al.*, 2024). Over the years, various plant

growth-promoting microbes have been used to biologically enhance seeds. Among these, plant endophytes are increasingly gaining attention in agricultural research. Seed bio-priming is being focused as it ensures the entrance of endophytes into the sides along with avoiding the effect of high temperature (Pramod *et al.*, 2022).

Endophytes are microorganisms that reside in plant tissues for all or part of their life cycle without causing visible harm (Wilson, 1993). Due to their symbiotic nature, these endophytes can colonize a diverse array of plant hosts and are increasingly recognized for their potential in seed bio-priming. This technique combines biological and physiological approaches to enhance seed protection and growth (Afzal *et al.*, 2016). Endophytes can influence interactions with pests and pathogens, offering potential as biocontrol agents. The use of endophytes in seed bio-priming can significantly improve various aspects of seed and plant development. These benefits include enhanced germination rates, increased inorganic phosphate uptake, better overall plant growth and ultimately, higher seed yield and quality. The primary aim of this study was to evaluate how seed bio-priming with endophytes affects key seed quality parameters such as germination and vigour.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seeds and culture collection

The present investigation was carried out at Seed Unit, UAS, Raichur during the year 2022. Chickpea variety JG-11 seeds were obtained from the Seed Unit at UAS, Raichur. *Rhizobium* bioagents were sourced from the Bio-input Entrepreneurship Centre at the College of Agriculture, Raichur. Additionally, six endophytes were sourced from the ICAR-National Bureau of Agriculturally Important Microorganisms in Kushmaur, Mau, Uttar Pradesh, while two endophyte cultures were acquired from the Department of Plant Pathology at the University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka.

Preparation of endophyte inoculums

Potato dextrose broth (PDB) was prepared for fungal cultures, while nutrient broth (NB) was used for bacterial cultures. Fungal cultures were added to Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB), whereas bacterial cultures were added to Nutrient Broth (NB). These cultures were incubated at $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ for 14 days for fungi and 2 days for bacteria. Following incubation, the culture filtrates were obtained by filtering through pre-sterilized conical flasks using Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The filtrates were then stored at 4°C in a refrigerator until used for seed bio-priming. The concentration of the bio-priming agents was determined using a hemocytometer under a light microscope. For fungal cultures, the concentration was calibrated to 1×10^3 conidia/ml and for bacterial cultures it was calibrated to 1×10^8 cfu/ml.

Seed bio-priming protocol

Chickpea seeds were subjected to surface sterilization (1%) for five minutes and then rinsed three times with sterile

water. Later the seeds were primed with the seed to solution ratio of 1:3 for chickpea using different bio priming agents as per factor-II for different durations hours as per factor-I and then the primed seeds were dried back to original moisture content (24 hrs) and then used to assess the seed quality parameters by following standard procedure of International Seed Testing Association (Anonymous, 2016). Each treatment was allocated with 4 replications, each replication with 50 seedlings. Seed quality parameters were assessed at the end of the eighth day. Statistical analysis was conducted using a Two-Factorial Completely Randomized Design and DMRT analysis was done using R-software.

List of bioagents and soaking duration used in the study.

Factor-I : Soaking duration	Factor II: Bioagents
H ₁ : 2 hours	T ₁ : <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> (Bacterial culture 1×10^8 cfu ml ⁻¹)
H ₂ : 4 hours	T ₂ : <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (Bacterial culture 1×10^8 cfu ml ⁻¹)
H ₃ : 6 hours	T ₃ : <i>Fusarium nygamai</i> (Fungal culture 1×10^3 conidia ml ⁻¹)
	T ₄ : <i>Bacillus cereus</i> (Bacterial culture 1×10^8 cfu ml ⁻¹)
	T ₅ : <i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i> (Bacterial culture 1×10^8 cfu ml ⁻¹)
	T ₆ : <i>Piriformospora indica</i> (Fungal culture 1×10^3 conidia ml ⁻¹)
	T ₇ : <i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> (Fungal culture 1×10^3 conidia ml ⁻¹)
	T ₈ : <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> (Bacterial culture 1×10^8 cfu ml ⁻¹)
	T ₉ : <i>Rhizobium</i> (Bacterial culture 1×10^8 cfu ml ⁻¹)
	T ₁₀ : Control

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This experiment was performed to evaluate the role of bioagents on seed quality in chickpea. A total of 10 bioagents were studied. Seed priming with these bioagents had shown a notable effect on chickpea.

Influence of bio-priming on seed quality parameters of chickpea

Significant influence on germination percentage, seedling length, dry weight, seedling vigour index-I and II, speed of germination and electrical conductivity of chickpea seeds were noted for various different bio agents and duration of priming (Table 1). With respect to priming duration, priming of chickpea seeds with different bio agents for seed germination percentage was determined to be non-significant but significant for seedling length, dry weight, seedling vigour index-I and II, speed of germination and electrical conductivity. However, seed priming for 4 and 6 hours had recorded maximum seed germination (95.1%)

Table 1: Influence of bio-priming on seed germination (%), seedling length (cm), seedling dry weight (g), seedling vigour index-I and seedling vigour index-II of chickpea.

Treatments (T)	Priming duration (H)																		
	Seed germination (%)			Seedling length (cm)			Seedling dry weight (g)			Seedling vigour index-I			Seedling vigour index-II						
	2	4	6	Mean	2	4	6	Mean	2	4	6	Mean	2	4	6	Mean	2	4	6
T ₁ : <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> (1×10 ⁸ cfu ml ⁻¹)	96.0 ^{ab}	96.0 ^a	98.5 ^c	96.8	36.5 ^d	35.8 ^a	40.8 ^a	37.7	2.2 ^f	2.1 ^c	2.5 ^{df}	2.3	3504 ^{ab}	3437 ^{cd}	4019 ^{df}	3653	211 ^b	202 ^c	246 ^d
T ₂ : <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (1×10 ⁸ cfu ml ⁻¹)	94.5 ^{ab}	97.0 ^a	97.5 ^{bc}	96.3	33.6 ^c	36.9 ^{ab}	38.4 ^e	36.3	1.9 ^{cd}	2.2 ^{cd}	2.4 ^e	2.2	3175 ^c	3579 ^{ab}	3744 ^d	3500	180 ^d	213 ^{cd}	234 ^d
T ₃ : <i>Fusarium nygamai</i> (1×10 ⁸ cfu ml ⁻¹)	94.0 ^{ab}	94.5 ^a	91.5 ^{ab}	93.3	33.0 ^{bc}	33.1 ^{ab}	29.6 ^{ab}	31.9	1.8 ^c	1.8 ^a	1.5 ^a	1.7	3102 ^{bc}	3128 ^{ab}	2708 ^a	2979	169 ^c	170 ^{ab}	137 ^{ab}
T ₄ : <i>Bacillus cereus</i> (1×10 ⁸ cfu ml ⁻¹)	92.5 ^{ab}	93.5 ^a	94.5 ^{abc}	93.5	31.1 ^{ab}	32.5 ^{ab}	33.5 ^{cd}	32.4	1.6 ^{ab}	1.7 ^a	1.8 ^c	1.7	2877 ^{ab}	3039 ^{ab}	3166 ^c	3027	148 ^b	159 ^a	170 ^c
T ₅ : <i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i> (1×10 ⁸ cfu ml ⁻¹)	97.5 ^b	97.5 ^a	99.0 ^c	98.0	37.4 ^d	38.1 ^e	41.5 ^f	39.0	2.2 ^f	2.3 ^d	2.6 ^f	2.4	3647 ^e	3715 ^e	4109 ^g	3823	215 ^g	224 ^d	248 ^d
T ₆ : <i>Piriformospora indica</i> (1×10 ³ conidia ml ⁻¹)	96.0 ^{ab}	96.0 ^a	98.0 ^{bc}	96.7	36.7 ^d	35.6 ^{cd}	39.3 ^{ef}	37.2	2.2 ^f	2.1 ^c	2.4 ^e	2.2	3523 ^{ab}	3418 ^{cd}	3851 ^{de}	3597	211 ^{fb}	202 ^c	235 ^d
T ₇ : <i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> (1×10 ³ conidia ml ⁻¹)	95.5 ^{ab}	94.0 ^a	95.5 ^{abc}	95.0	35.2 ^{cd}	33.0 ^{ab}	34.7 ^d	34.3	2.1 ^{ef}	1.8 ^{ab}	1.9 ^d	1.9	3362 ^{cd}	3102 ^{ab}	3314 ^c	3259	201 ^f	169 ^{ab}	181 ^c
T ₈ : <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> (1×10 ³ cfu ml ⁻¹)	92.5 ^{ab}	94.0 ^a	92.50 ^{abc}	93.0	31.0 ^{ab}	32.7 ^{ab}	31.7 ^{bc}	31.8	1.6 ^b	1.8 ^{ab}	1.6 ^b	1.7	2868 ^{ab}	3074 ^{ab}	2932 ^b	2958	148 ^b	169 ^{ab}	148 ^b
T ₉ : <i>Rhizobium</i> (1×10 ⁸ cfu ml ⁻¹)	95.5 ^{ab}	95.0 ^a	95.0 ^{abc}	95.2	35.2 ^{cd}	34.3 ^{bc}	34.0 ^d	34.5	2.0 ^{de}	1.9 ^b	1.9 ^d	1.9	3362 ^{cd}	3259 ^{bc}	3230 ^c	3283	191 ^e	181 ^b	181 ^c
T ₁₀ : Control	91.5 ^a	93.0 ^a	89.0 ^a	91.2	29.4 ^a	31.3 ^a	28.9 ^a	29.9	1.5 ^a	1.7 ^a	1.4 ^a	1.6	2690 ^a	2911 ^a	2572 ^a	2724	137 ^a	158 ^a	134 ^a
Mean	94.6	95.1	95.1	95.1	33.9	34.3	35.2	34.3	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.9	3211	3266	336 ⁵	3211	181	185	191
Factors	H	T	H×T		H	T	H×T		H	T	H×T		H	T	H×T		H	T	H×T
S.E.m±	0.4	0.8	1.4		0.1	0.3	0.5		0.01	0.01	0.03		14	26	45		1	1	2
CD @ 1%	NS	2.4	4.2		0.5	0.9	1.5		0.02	0.05	0.08		40	73	127		2	3	6
Legend	NS: Non significant.																		

Legend NS: Non significant.

and (95.1%) compared to 2 (94.6%) hours. Seed priming for 6 hours had recorded maximum seedling length (35.2 cm), seedling dry weight (2.0 g), seedling vigour index-I (3365), seedling vigour index II (191), speed of germination (21.0) and minimum electrical conductivity (0.60 dsm^{-1}) compared to 2 (33.9 cm), (1.9 g), (3211), (181), (20.4) (0.65 dsm^{-1}) and 4 (34.3 cm), (1.9 g), (3266), (185), (20.5), (0.62 dsm^{-1}) hours respectively.

While comparing the different bio agents, significantly higher seed germination (98.0%), seedling length (39.0 cm), seedling dry weight (2.4 g), seedling vigour index-I (3823), seedling vigour index II (229), speed of germination (22.1) and lower electrical conductivity (0.37 dsm^{-1}) was noticed in chickpea due to *Paenibacillus polymyxa* relative to other treatments and control (91.2%) (29.9 cm), (1.6 g), (2724), (143), (19.6), (0.93 dsm^{-1}) respectively. Among the interactions priming chickpea seeds with *Paenibacillus polymyxa* for 6 hrs had showed the maximum seed germination rates significantly (99.0%), seedling length (41.5 cm), seedling dry weight (2.6 g), seedling vigour index-I (4109), seedling vigour index II (248), speed of germination (22.8) and lower electrical conductivity (0.30 dsm^{-1}) as relative to all other treatments. Control had showed the minimum seed germination (89.0%), seedling length (28.9 cm), seedling dry weight (1.4 g), seedling vigour index-I (2572), seedling vigour index II (134), speed of germination (19.4) and highest electrical conductivity (1.01 dsm^{-1}) primed for 6 hrs.

From the results it was found that *Paenibacillus polymyxa* significantly improved the seed quality parameters. This could be attributed to production of plant growth hormones like GA and IAA and also production of secondary metabolites. Increased GA and IAA might trigger the enzyme activity of α -amylase which is responsible for early germination through maximizing the availability of starch assimilation, GA and IAA might have played an important role on seed germination and radical length (Maiyappan *et al.*, 2010). Endophytes are known to produce a wide variety of phytohormones including gibberellins (GAs), auxin (IAA) and abscisic acid (ABA) and IAA (You *et al.*, 2012). Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and auxin identified as the most abundant and wide spread that mediates an enormous range of developmental and growth responses including embryo symmetry establishment, initiation of cell division, promote vascular differentiation, root initiation and apical dominance as well as environmental responses such as gravitropism and phototropism. Besides its hormonal functions, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is involved in the stimulation of the ethylene synthesis. Reports of earlier work showed that the ability of endophytic fungi to produce gibberellins (GAs) in pure culture and their role in promotion of germination has widely been reported (Hamayun *et al.*, 2009) in soybean.

The seeds of pulse crops prone to imbibition injury below or above soaking periods hence a safe period of soaking in priming medium is necessary. Reports of earlier

workers have indicated four to eight hours soaking suitable for the bean and related crops. (Ghassemi-Golezani *et al.*, 2010 in pintobean; Shah *et al.*, 2012 in mungbean) found maximum priming hours was four hour for one variety and six hour for another under early moisture stress condition and concluded that varieties of same crop might require varied priming hours. So, duration of priming is very important and it differs for each crop and variety.

Speed of germination was attributed to higher per cent germination of seeds over time. From the results it was evident that *Paenibacillus polymyxa* found having higher speed of germination, this could be attributed to secretion of certain hormones such as cytokinin and auxin, which stimulates for better absorption of water which in turn helps in germination of seeds (Zahir *et al.*, 2004) thus the rate of germination, might have improved. Similar outcomes were also noted by Shukla *et al.* (2015) in wheat; Piri *et al.* (2019) in cumin. The electrical conductivity in seeds bio primed with *Paenibacillus polymyxa* was less over control this may be due to protection of the seeds by the endophyte from infecting pathogens, thus reduces the seed infection, cracks and aberrations of the seed coat and reduce the leaching of the electrolytes. Comparable results were also observed by Umadi *et al.* (2018) in soybean; Estevez-Geffraud *et al.* (2020) in maize.

Influence of bio-priming on enzyme activities of chickpea

The data regarding dehydrogenase activity (OD value), catalase activity and peroxidase enzyme activity shown in Table 2 revealed significant differences among various bio agents and duration of priming. Significant differences for dehydrogenase activity (OD value), catalase activity and peroxidase enzyme activity were noted with respect to priming duration. Higher dehydrogenase activity (0.73), catalase activity ($0.47 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) and peroxidase enzyme activity ($2.16 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) was recorded in seed priming for 6 hours compared to 2 ($0.70 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$), ($0.45 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$), ($2.09 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) and 4 ($0.71 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$), ($0.46 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$), ($2.12 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) hours respectively.

The experimental results of dehydrogenase activity (OD value), catalase activity and peroxidase enzyme activity with respect to different bio agents in chickpea was revealed statistically significant. Significantly higher dehydrogenase activity (0.87), catalase activity ($0.65 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) and peroxidase enzyme activity ($2.73 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) was noticed in chickpea due to *Paenibacillus polymyxa* followed by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (0.84) ($0.60 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) ($2.62 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) *Piriformospora indica* (0.83) ($0.58 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) ($2.58 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) and *Bacillus subtilis* (0.80) ($0.55 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) ($2.38 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) and differed significantly over uninoculated control (0.55) ($0.29 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) ($1.57 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ protein}$) respectively. Perusal of Table 2 revealed that interactions

Table 2: Influence of bio-priming on speed of germination, electrical conductivity (dsm^{-1}), dehydrogenase activity ($\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) and peroxidase enzyme activity ($\mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein) of chickpea.

Treatments (T)	Priming duration (H)																			
	Speed of germination				Electrical conductivity (dsm ⁻¹)				Dehydrogenase activity (OD value)				Catalase enzyme activity (μmolmin ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein)				Peroxidase enzyme (μmol activity min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein)			
	2	4	6	Mean	2	4	6	Mean	2	4	6	Mean	2	4	6	Mean	2.56 ^{ab}	2.45 ^c	2.85 ^a	2.62
T ₁ : <i>Bacillus amyloquelaciens</i> (1×10 ⁵ cfu ml ⁻¹)	21.1 ^{bc}	20.6 ^{abc}	22.4 ^b	21.4	0.43 ^a	0.54 ^b	0.33 ^{ab}	0.43	0.83 ^c	0.80 ^{cd}	0.88 ^{cd}	0.84	0.59 ^e	0.56 ^e	0.65 ^e	0.60	2.56 ^{ab}	2.45 ^c	2.85 ^a	2.62
T ₂ : <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (1×10 ⁵ cfu ml ⁻¹)	20.4 ^{abc}	21.3 ^{bc}	22.1 ^b	21.3	0.65 ^d	0.42 ^a	0.37 ^c	0.48	0.69 ^c	0.84 ^{cd}	0.87 ^{cd}	0.80	0.43 ^c	0.60 ^f	0.61 ^d	0.55	1.95 ^b	2.55 ^{cd}	2.63 ⁱ	2.38
T ₃ : <i>Fusarium nygamai</i> (1×10 ³ conidia ml ⁻¹)	20.1 ^{ab}	20.3 ^{ab}	19.5 ^a	20.0	0.72 ^a	0.69 ^d	0.92 ^g	0.78	0.63 ^{ab}	0.66 ^{ab}	0.54 ^{ab}	0.61	0.37 ^b	0.42 ^c	0.27 ^a	0.35	1.84 ^b	1.93 ^b	1.48 ^{ab}	1.75
T ₄ : <i>Bacillus cereus</i> (1×10 ⁵ cfu ml ⁻¹)	19.6 ^a	19.9 ^{ab}	20.3 ^a	19.9	0.85 ^f	0.80 ^f	0.65 ^e	0.77	0.55 ^{ab}	0.56 ^{ab}	0.68 ^a	0.60	0.29 ^a	0.34 ^{ab}	0.39 ^b	0.34	1.62 ^a	1.75 ^a	1.86 ^c	1.74
T ₅ : <i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i> (1×10 ⁵ cfu ml ⁻¹)	21.6 ^c	21.8 ^c	22.8 ^b	22.1	0.41 ^a	0.39 ^a	0.30 ^a	0.37	0.85 ^c	0.86 ^{cd}	0.89 ^d	0.87	0.64 ⁱ	0.63 ^f	0.67 ^e	0.65	2.67 ^e	2.65 ^d	2.86 ^a	2.73
T ₆ : <i>Piriformospora indica</i> (1×10 ³ conidia ml ⁻¹)	20.7 ^{abc}	20.6 ^{abc}	22.7 ^b	21.3	0.49 ^b	0.50 ^b	0.34 ^{bc}	0.44	0.83 ^c	0.80 ^{cd}	0.87 ^{cd}	0.83	0.58 ^e	0.54 ^e	0.61 ^d	0.58	2.52 ^{cd}	2.47 ^c	2.74 ^{ab}	2.58
T ₇ : <i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> (1×10 ³ conidia ml ⁻¹)	20.5 ^{abc}	20.2 ^{ab}	20.5 ^a	20.4	0.54 ^c	0.70 ^d	0.57 ^d	0.60	0.78 ^{bc}	0.65 ^{bc}	0.73 ^{bc}	0.72	0.52 ^d	0.36 ^b	0.48 ^c	0.45	2.36 ^c	1.82 ^a	2.12 ^a	2.10
T ₈ : <i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> (1×10 ⁵ cfu ml ⁻¹)	19.6 ^a	20.0 ^{ab}	19.8 ^a	19.8	0.89 ^f	0.76 ^e	0.83 ^f	0.83	0.54 ^a	0.61 ^a	0.56 ^a	0.57	0.30 ^a	0.35 ^{ab}	0.28 ^a	0.31	1.64 ^a	1.79 ^a	1.59 ^b	1.67
T ₉ : <i>Rhizobium</i> (1×10 ⁵ cfu ml ⁻¹)	20.5 ^{abc}	20.5 ^{ab}	20.4 ^a	20.5	0.55 ^c	0.60 ^c	0.63 ^e	0.59	0.75 ^{bc}	0.71 ^{bc}	0.70 ^{bc}	0.72	0.51 ^d	0.46 ^d	0.45 ^c	0.47	2.24 ^c	2.01 ^b	1.99 ^d	2.08
T ₁₀ : Control	19.5 ^a	19.8 ^a	19.4 ^a	19.6	0.95 ^g	0.83 ^f	1.01 ^h	0.93	0.54 ^a	0.56 ^a	0.54 ^a	0.55	0.28 ^a	0.32 ^a	0.26 ^a	0.29	1.53 ^a	1.74 ^a	1.45 ^a	1.57
Mean	20.4	20.5	21.0		0.65	0.62	0.60		0.70	0.71	0.73		0.45	0.46	0.47		2.09	2.12	2.16	
Factors	H	T	H×T		H	T	H×T		H	T	H×T		H	T	H×T		H	T	H×T	
S.S.Em±	0.1	0.1	0.2		0.004	0.006	0.011		0.003	0.006	0.011		0.003	0.005	0.009		0.01	0.02	0.03	
CD @ 1%	0.2	0.4	0.7		0.010	0.018	0.032		0.010	0.018	0.031		0.008	0.015	0.026		0.03	0.05	0.09	

effect between duration of priming and bio control agents for dehydrogenase activity (OD value), catalase activity and peroxidase enzyme activity was assessed to be statistically significant. Among the interactions priming chickpea seeds with *Paenibacillus polymyxa* for 6 hrs had achieved significantly the higher level dehydrogenase activity (0.89), catalase activity ($0.67 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$) and peroxidase activity ($2.86 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$) as comparison to all other treatments and control (0.54) ($0.26 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$) ($1.45 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1} \text{protein}$) primed for 6 hrs respectively.

All living seeds undergo respiration, which leads to the production of enzymes such as dehydrogenase. Therefore, dehydrogenase activity is commonly used as a biomarker to assess seed viability. An enhancement in dehydrogenase activity following seed priming can be attributed to the activation of enzyme activity during the priming process and heightened cell cycle activity, potentially stimulated by endophytes. Similar enhancements in dehydrogenase activity due to seed priming have been observed in previous studies. For example, Sharma *et al.* (2017) observed increased dehydrogenase activity in Aloe vera, while Devi *et al.* (2021) reported similar findings in pigeon pea. These studies imply that priming can effectively enhance enzymatic activity, reflecting improved seed viability and potential for successful germination.

Paenibacillus polymyxa was found to be superior and effective in increasing catalase and peroxidase activity this might be because of growth hormones produced by these endophytes increases the antioxidant enzymes like catalase and peroxidase. The growth hormones like GA3 counteracts the free radicals produced due to oxidative damage by increasing the production of osmolytes like proline, protein and sugar contents and antioxidant enzymes like peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase and catalase also overcome the limitations created by environmental stress such as osmotic effects, ion toxicity and nutritional imbalance which promote better seedling growth and ultimately improve the plant vigour (Jamil and Rha, 2007) in sugar beet. Lalngaihawmi *et al.* (2018) opined that with respect to time and concentration, the rice seeds dipped in 100 per cent concentration of *Penicillium citrinum* for 30 minutes recorded the highest dehydrogenase activity, catalase activity and peroxidase activity compared to 15 minutes.

CONCLUSION

Based on the laboratory experiments, *Paenibacillus polymyxa* was identified as the most effective bio-priming agent for improving seed quality parameters in chickpea, outperforming other tested bio-agents. Among various priming durations, a six-hour treatment was determined to be the most effective. The combination of *Paenibacillus polymyxa* with a six-hour priming period yielded the best results concerning seed quality parameters evaluated. The investigation indicates that low-quality seeds benefit from

bio-priming treatments to enhance their quality before sowing. This study illustrates that seed bio-priming can significantly improve seed quality in chickpea. Therefore, it is recommended to treat chickpea seeds with suitable bio-agents prior to sowing to achieve better seed quality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to acknowledge my guide, my advisory committee members and the Head of the Seed Unit for providing the necessary facilities and encouragement that greatly supported the completion of this research.

Conflict of interest

All authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Afzal, I., Rehman, H.U., Naveed, M. and Basra, A. (2016). Recent advances in seed enhancement. *Intech Open*. **14**: 48-74.
- Anonymous. (2016). International Rules for Seed Testing. *Seed Science and Technology*. **29**: 1-938.
- Devi, N.S.A., Kumutha, K., Anandham, R. and Krishnamoorthy, R. (2021). Induction of moisture stress tolerance by *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* in pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *Biotechnology*. **11**(7): 1-12.
- Diya, A., Beena, R. and Jayalekshmy, V.G. (2024). Physiological, biochemical and molecular mechanisms of seed priming: A review. *Legume Research*. **47**(2): 159-166. doi: 10.18 805/LR-4638.
- Estevez-Geffriaud, V., Ruben, V., Diaz, V., Omar Juan, R. and Trillas, M.I. (2020). Application of *Trichoderma asperellum* T34 on maize (*Zea mays*) seeds protects against drought stress. *Planta*. **252**(1): 8-19.
- Ghassemi-Golezani, K., Chadordooz-Jeddi, A., Nasrullahzadeh, S. and Moghaddam, M. (2010). Influence of hydropriming duration on field performance of pintobean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. **5**(9): 893-894.
- Hamayun, M., Khan, S.A., Khan, M.A., Khan, A.L., Kang, S.M., Kim, S.K., Joo, G.J. and Lee, I.J. (2009). Gibberellin production by pure cultures of a new strain of *Aspergillus fumigatus* in soybean. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. **25**(1): 1785-1792.
- Jamil, M. and Rha, E.S. (2007). The effect of salinity (NaCl) on the germination and seedling of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) and cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.). *Korean Journal of Plant Resources*. **7**: 226-232.
- Lalngaihawmi, Banik, S., Chakruno, P. and Khatemenla. (2018). Effect of rice fungal endophytes on seed germination and seedling growth of rice. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. **7**(4): 3653-3663.
- Maiyappan, S., Amalraj, E.L.D., Santhosh, A. and Peter, A.J. (2010). Isolation, evaluation and formulation of selected microbial consortia for sustainable agriculture. *Journal of Biofertilizers and Biopesticides*. **2**(109): 2-6.
- Osburn, R.M. and Schorth, M.N. (1988). Effect of osmopriming sugarbeet seed on exudation and subsequent damping off caused by *Pythium ultimum*. *Phytopathology*. **78**: 1245.

- Piri, R., Moradi, A., Balouchi, H. and Salehi, A. (2019). Improvement of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*) seed performance under drought stress by seed coating and bio-priming. *Scientia Horticulturae*. **25(3)**: 34-45.
- Pramod, S., Arun, B. and Rajesh, K. (2022). Influence of seed biopriming with microbial inoculants on seed quality parameters in soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) merril]. *Legume Research*. **45(9)**: 1171-1177. doi: 10.18805/LR-4318.
- Roy, A., Ghosh, S., Dutta, B. and Dutta, S. (2024). Seed quality enhancement through seed bio-priming to increase productivity: A review. *Agricultural Reviews*. **45(4)**: 687-692. doi: 10.18805/ag.R-2477.
- Shah, H., Jalwat, T., Arif, M. and Miraj, G. (2012). Seed priming improves early seedling growth and nutrient uptake in mungbean. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*. **35**: 805-816.
- Sharma, P., Karkwal, A., Abdin, M.Z. and Verma, A. (2017). *Piriformospora indica*-mediated salinity tolerance in Aloe vera plantlets. *Symbiosis*. **72(2)**: 103-115.
- Shukla, R., Awasthi, P. and Rawat, L. (2015). Seed bio-priming with drought-tolerant isolates of *Trichoderma harzianum* promotes growth and drought tolerance in *Triticum aestivum*. *Annals of Applied Biology*. **66(5)**: 171-182.
- Umadi, S.S., Sumadi, S. and Sobarna, D.S. (2018). The effect of seed coating with *Trichoderma* sp. and application of bokashi fertilizer to the quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) seed. *Journal of Biology*. **3(2)**: 110-117.
- Wilson, D. (1993). Fungal endophytes: Out of sight but should not be out of mind? *Oikos*. **68**: 379-384.
- You, Y.H., Yoon, H., Kang, S.M., Shin, J.H., Choo, Y.S., Lee, I.J., Lee, J.M. and Kim, J.G. (2012). Fungal diversity and plant growth promotion of endophytic fungi from six halophytes in *Suncheon Bay*. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. **22(11)**: 1549-1556.
- Zahir, Z.A., Arshad, M. and Frankenberger, W.T. (2004). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Applications and perspectives in agriculture. *Advances in Agronomy*. **81(1)**: 97-168.